

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Applicant(s) Application No. 10/679,541 CHIN ET AL. Interview Summary **Art Unit** Examiner 2628 Eric Woods All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (3)Chandana Rao (52,510). (1) Eric Woods. (2) Mark Zimmerman. Date of Interview: 02 May 2007. Type: a) ✓ Telephonic b) ✓ Video Conference c) Personal (copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description: Claim(s) discussed: 7. Identification of prior art discussed: Sakai, Duncan. Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) \square N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

(

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's representative briefly requested a clarification of what possible application the KSR decision might have on prosecution of the instant application. Applicant's representative presented arguments concerning how the instant invention differered from the applied reference. Examiner made some possible suggestions with regards to possible amendments to help clarify the meaning of certain terms. No agreement was reached with respect to any possible withdrawal of grounds of rejection.

Organization ___

Bldg./Room _

1

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

P.O. Box 1450

If Undeliverable Return In Ten Days Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Penalty For Private Use, \$300 Official Business

AN EQUAL OPPORTUNITY EMPLOYER

MAILED FROM ZIP CODE 113 4

MIXIE NOT DELIVERABLE AS ADDRESSED UNABLE TO FORWARD SE TO TO TO TO 65716707

BC: 22313145050

×1092-07503-09-44

հոհետևեր Մաստաներ հեներ և հուներ հեներուներ և առաջանում և հուներ և հուներ և հուներ և հուներ և հուներ և հուներ